

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
ORDINARY ORIGINAL CIVIL JURISDICTION
SUMMONS FOR JUDGMENT NO.352 OF 2006
IN
SUMMARY SUIT NO.1785 OF 2006

A. B. Joshi

... Plaintiff

vs.

M/s.Jivandas Khatau & another

... Defendants.

Mr.P.V.Shah, for Plaintiff.

Mr.Nivit Shrivastva, for Defendants.

CORAM: D.K.DESHMUKH,J.

DATED: 26th September, 2006

P.C.:-

1. The plaintiff's suit is based on one bill of exchange drawn by defendant no.1 and accepted by defendant no.2. The defendants have not replied to the demand notice. Though the defendants are served with the summons for judgment, within the time prescribed under Order 37 of Civil Procedure Code no application for leave to

defend the suit has been filed. Therefore, in terms of provisions of Order 37 of C.P.C. the plaintiff is entitled to a decree. Heard the learned Counsel appearing for plaintiff. Perused the record. Summons for judgment is granted. Suit is decreed in terms of prayer clause of the suit. Refund of court fees as per rules.
